Introduction
Since 2012 an extremity-dedicated 0.31T MR scanner has been operating in the Radiology Department of the University Medical Center Freiburg, Freiburg for the diagnostics of different orthopaedic, emergency- and hand-surgery issues. This compact MR scanner has been playing an „on-demand“ role in the clinical routine of our department, being always available “on-call”. Indeed, it has been providing immediate MR examinations of the extremities, sensibly reducing the waiting lists.

Motivation
The advantages of small-sized, low-field MR scanners, dedicated to the imaging of extremities, are the reduced costs for purchasing and maintenance, together with an easy installation in relatively small sites (1). Moreover, children and claustrophobic patients can benefit from the small size of such scanners (2), since only the body part under investigation must be inserted into the magnet, as shown in Fig.1. In addition, lower-field imaging is less affected by susceptibility artifacts (3). On the other hand, the clinical protocols of low-field scanners need to be carefully optimized in order to try to get round the reduced SNR and CNR in comparison with 1.5T or even higher-field imaging (4).

Materials and Methods
All patients were examined by a 0.31T extremity-dedicated MR scanner (O-Scan, Esaote, Genoa, Italy). Gradient amplitude is ±20 mT/m with a slew rate of 50 mT/m/ms. The system is endowed with three dedicated dual phased array RF receiving coils (hand, knee, ankle/elbow). Due to the lower operating field strength, standard fat suppression imaging is not available. However, clinically relevant water-imaging is provided by means of fat-water separation techniques, as shown in Fig.2a-b.

From January 2012 till March 2013 were recruited for this study:
✓ 62 patients with knee lesions who also underwent arthroscopy;
✓ 28 patients with suspect of fractures, who also underwent CT.

Figs.2-3 provides typical examples of joint diagnostic imaging.

Fig.1: Typical examination setup for extremities: only the body part to be investigated is positioned inside the magnet.

Fig.2: Bucket-handle tear of the internal meniscus with a double rupture of the posterior cruciate ligament, coming along with articular effusion.

a-b: two water-imaging views of the X-BONE sequence (TR = 940 ms, TE = 11 und 22 ms, Flip Angle = 90°; FOV=180°180 mm², M = 256, TH = 3,5 mm, TA = 548°);
c: FSE T2 (TR = 4920 ms, TE = 100 ms, FOV=180°180 mm², M = 240°220, TH = 3 mm, TA = 4°25°).

Fig.3: X-BONE water-imaging (a) and SE T1 imaging (b) of a tibia rear-edge fracture. 3D SHARC imaging (steady state FID and echo MR sequence) of a rupture of the Achilles tendon (c: see arrow).

Results
✓ Knee lesions. By means of knee arthroscopy 24 tears of the internal meniscus (IM) were diagnosed, including 8 bucket-handle tears (IM-BH), 15 tears of the external meniscus (EM), including 5 bucket-handle tears (EM-BH) and 31 ruptures of the anterior cruciate ligament (ACL). On the average, arthroscopy was performed 35.8 days after MRI, with a standard deviation of 28.7 days and a total range from 0 to 108 days.
✓ Fractures. According with CT, 11 out of 28 patients showed fractures.

MRI was retrospectively and independently analyzed by two long-term experienced readers. In comparison with arthroscopy for the knee lesions and with CT for suspected fractures (gold standards) the sensitivity and specificity of MRI are shown in Tab.1. The evaluation of the two readers did not show statistically relevant differences. All the ACL ruptures and all the fractures were detected by both readers. Moreover, 22 tendon complete ruptures (12 of the Achilles tendon, 3 of the quadriceps tendon and 7 of the biceps tendon) were effectively diagnosed by MRI.

Discussion
Low-field MR imaging has well-known limitations in terms of SNR and CNR (4). This notwithstanding, in the diagnostics of meniscus ruptures and cruciate ligament lesions several clinical studies have proved that low-field, extremity-dedicated MR scanners provide clinical reliability and efficacy comparable to those of middle- and high-field scanners (see e.g. Ref.5).

In our study several kinds of meniscus tears, cruciate ligaments and tendon ruptures, and joint fractures were readily identified with a very good sensitivity and specificity, thus confirming and extending what already reported. The FOV (a sphere of 14 cm diameter) was always large enough for the diagnosis of the above discussed lesions.

Conclusion
The „on-demand“ scanner availability allows immediate extremity MRI examinations avoiding long waiting lists and assuring a prompt assignment of the required therapy. Both knee ligament lesions, fractures and tendon ruptures were effectively diagnosed. It follows that the extremely-dedicated 0.31T MRI scanner has proved to be reliable in the investigations of joints, with outcomes comparable to those of middle- and high-field scanners. Further advantages are the reduced costs for purchasing and maintenance.
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